COMP9313: Big Data Management

Lecturer: Xin Cao Course web site: http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~cs9313/

Chapter 6.1: Mining Data Streams

Data Streams

- ❖ In many data mining situations, we do not know the entire data set in advance
- ❖ Stream Management is important when the input rate is controlled **externally:**
	- ➢ Google queries
	- ➢ Twitter or Facebook status updates
- ❖ We can think of the **data** as **infinite** and **non-stationary** (the distribution changes over time)

Characteristics of Data Streams

- ❖ Traditional DBMS: data stored in *finite, persistent data sets*
- ❖ Data Streams: distributed, continuous, unbounded, rapid, time varying, noisy, . . .
- ❖ Characteristics
	- \triangleright Huge volumes of continuous data, possibly infinite
	- ➢ Fast changing and requires fast, real-time response
	- ➢ Random access is expensive—single scan algorithm (can only have one look)
	- \triangleright Store only the summary of the data seen thus far

Massive Data Streams

- ❖ Data is *continuously growing* faster than our ability to store or index it
- ❖ There are 3 Billion Telephone Calls in US each day, 30 Billion emails daily, 1 Billion SMS, IMs
- ❖ Scientific data: NASA's observation satellites generate billions of readings each per day
- ❖ IP Network Traffic: up to 1 Billion packets per hour per router. Each ISP has many (hundreds) routers!

 \mathcal{N} … … …

The Stream Model

- ❖ Input elements enter at a rapid rate, at one or more input ports (i.e., streams)
	- \triangleright We call elements of the stream tuples
- ❖ The system cannot store the entire stream accessibly
- ❖ **Q: How do you make critical calculations about the stream using a limited amount of memory?**

Database Management System (DBMS) Data Processing

General Data Stream Management System (DSMS) Processing Model

DBMS vs. DSMS #1

DBMS vs. DSMS #2

❖ Traditional DBMS:

- \triangleright stored sets of relatively static records with no pre-defined notion of time
- \triangleright good for applications that require persistent data storage and complex querying

DSMS: п

- **□** support on-line analysis of rapidly changing data streams
- \square data stream: real-time, continuous, ordered (implicitly by arrival time or explicitly by timestamp) sequence of items, too large to store entirely, no ending
- **D** continuous queries

DBMS vs. DSMS #3

DBMS

- ❖ Persistent relations (relatively static, stored)
- ❖ One-time queries
- ❖ Random access
- ❖ "Unbounded" disk store
- ❖ Only current state matters
- ❖ No real-time services
- ❖ Relatively low update rate
- ❖ Data at any granularity
- ❖ Assume precise data
- ❖ Access plan determined by query processor, physical DB design

DSMS

- ❖ Transient streams (on-line analysis)
- ❖ Continuous queries (CQs)
- ❖ Sequential access
- ❖ Bounded main memory
- ❖ Historical data is important
- ❖ Real-time requirements
- ❖ Possibly multi-GB arrival rate
- ❖ Data at fine granularity
- ❖ Data stale/imprecise
- ❖ Unpredictable/variable data arrival and characteristics

Problems on Data Streams

- ❖ Types of queries one wants on answer on a data stream: (we'll learn these today)
	- ➢ Sampling data from a stream
		- ▶ Construct a random sample
	- ➢ Queries over sliding windows
		- Number of items of type x in the last *k* elements of the stream
	- \triangleright Filtering a data stream
		- \triangleright Select elements with property x from the stream
	- ➢ Counting distinct elements
		- Number of distinct elements in the last *k* elements of the stream
	- \triangleright Finding frequent elements
	- \triangleright

Applications

- ❖ Mining query streams
	- ➢ Google wants to know what queries are more frequent today than yesterday
- ❖ Mining click streams
	- ➢ Yahoo wants to know which of its pages are getting an unusual number of hits in the past hour
- ❖ Mining social network news feeds
	- \triangleright E.g., look for trending topics on Twitter, Facebook
- ❖ Sensor Networks
	- ➢ Many sensors feeding into a central controller
- ❖ Telephone call records
	- ➢ Data feeds into customer bills as well as settlements between telephone companies
- ❖ IP packets monitored at a switch
	- ➢ Gather information for optimal routing

Example: IP Network Data

- ❖ Networks are sources of massive data: the metadata per hour per IP router is gigabytes
- ❖ Fundamental problem of data stream analysis:
	- \triangleright Too much information to store or transmit
- ❖ So process data as it arrives
	- ➢ One pass, small space: the data stream approach
- ❖ **Approximate answers** to many questions are OK, if there are guarantees of result quality

Part 1: Sampling Data Streams

Sampling from a Data Stream

❖ Since we can not store the entire stream, one obvious approach is to store a **sample**

- ❖ Two different problems:
	- ➢ **(1)** Sample a **fixed proportion** of elements in the stream (say 1 in 10)
		- As the stream grows the sample also gets bigger
	- ➢ **(2)** Maintain a **random sample of fixed size** over a potentially infinite stream
		- As the stream grows, the sample is of fixed size
		- At any "time" *t* we would like a random sample of *s* elements
			- **What is the property of the sample we want to maintain?** For all time steps *t*, each of *t* elements seen so far has equal probability of being sampled

Sampling a Fixed Proportion

- ❖ Problem 1: Sampling fixed proportion
- ❖ Scenario: Search engine query stream
	- ➢ **Stream of tuples:** (user, query, time)
	- ➢ **Answer questions such as: How often did a user run the same query in a single days**
	- ➢ Have space to store **1/10th** of query stream
- ❖ **Naïve solution:**
	- ➢ Generate a random integer in **[0..9]** for each query
	- ➢ Store the query if the integer is **0**, otherwise discard

Problem with Naïve Approach

- ❖ Simple question: What fraction of queries by an average search engine user are duplicates?
	- ➢ Suppose each user issues *x* queries once and *d* queries twice (total of *x***+2***d* queries)
		- **Correct answer:** *d***/(***x***+***d***)**
	- ➢ **Proposed solution: We keep 10% of the queries**
		- **Sample will contain x/10 of the singleton queries and 2***d***/10** of the duplicate queries at least once
		- **But only** *d***/100** pairs of duplicates

– **d/100** = **1/10 ∙ 1/10 ∙ d**

Of *d* "duplicates" *18d/100* appear exactly once

– **18d/100 = ((1/10 ∙ 9/10)+(9/10 ∙ 1/10)) ∙ d**

► So the sample-based answer is
$$
\frac{\frac{d}{100}}{\frac{x}{10} + \frac{d}{100} + \frac{18d}{100}} = \frac{d}{10x + 19d}
$$
 ≠d/(x+d)

Solution: Sample Users

Solution:

- ❖ Pick **1/10th** of **users** and take all their searches in the sample
- ❖ Use a hash function that hashes the username or user id uniformly into 10 buckets
	- \triangleright We hash each username to one of ten buckets, 0 through 9
	- \triangleright If the user hashes to bucket 0, then accept this search query for the sample, and if not, then not.

Generalized Problem and Solution

- ❖ Problem: Give a data stream, take a sample of fraction a/b.
- \div Stream of tuples with keys:
	- ➢ Key is some subset of each tuple's components
		- e.g., tuple is (user, search, time); key is **user**
	- \triangleright Choice of key depends on application
- \div To get a sample of a/b fraction of the stream:
	- ➢ Hash each tuple's key uniformly into *b* buckets
	- ➢ Pick the tuple if its hash value is at most *a*

How to generate a 30% sample?

Hash into b=10 buckets, take the tuple if it hashes to one of the first 3 buckets

Sample Operator in Spark

- ❖ sample(withReplacement, fraction, seed)
	- \triangleright Return a sampled subset of this RDD.
	- ➢ withReplacement: can elements be sampled multiple times
	- \triangleright fraction: expected size of the sample as a fraction of this RDD's size without replacement
		- This is not guaranteed to provide exactly the fraction specified of the total count of the given
	- \triangleright seed: seed for the random number generator

```
scala> val rdd = sc.parallelize(1 to 100)
rdd: org.apache.spark.rdd.RDD[Int] = ParallelCollectionRDD[90] at parallelize at <console>:31
scala> var sample1 = rdd.sample(true, 0.4, 2).collect
sample1: Array[Int] = Array(5, 5, 15, 19, 26, 27, 29, 38, 40, 45, 48, 48, 49, 50, 52, 54, 57, 58, 58,
59, 61, 67, 68, 68, 71, 73, 78, 82, 83, 83, 85, 88, 89, 89, 92, 95, 99)
scala> sample1.size
res7: Int = 37scala> var sample2 = rdd.sample(false, 0.4, 2).collect
sample2: Array[Int] = Array(4, 5, 6, 7, 15, 21, 23, 26, 27, 36, 41, 42, 43, 44, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 61
, 62, 66, 68, 77, 82, 86, 91, 93, 96)
scala> sample2.size
res8: Int = 29
```
Maintaining a Fixed-size Sample

- ❖ Problem 2: Fixed-size sample
- ❖ Suppose we need to maintain a random sample S of size exactly s tuples
	- \triangleright E.g., main memory size constraint
- ❖ **Why?** Don't know length of stream in advance
- ❖ Suppose at time *n* we have seen *n* items
	- ➢ Each item is in the sample *S* with equal prob. *s/n*

How to think about the problem: say s = 2 Stream: a x c y z k q d e g...

Note that the same item is treated as different tuples at different timestamps

At **n= 5,** each of the first 5 tuples is included in the sample **S** with equal prob. At **n= 7,** each of the first 7 tuples is included in the sample **S** with equal prob. **Impractical solution would be to store all the** *n* **tuples seen so far and out of them pick** *s* **at random**

Solution: Fixed Size Sample

❖ **Algorithm (a.k.a. Reservoir Sampling)**

- ➢ Store all the first *s* elements of the stream to *S*
- ➢ Suppose we have seen *n-1* elements, and now the *nth* element arrives $(n > s)$
	- With probability *s/n*, keep the *nth* element, else discard it
	- If we picked the *nth* element, then it replaces one of the *s* elements in the sample *S*, picked uniformly at random
- ❖ **Claim:** This algorithm maintains a sample *S* with the desired property:
	- ➢ After *n* elements, the sample contains each element seen so far with probability *s/n*

Proof: By Induction

❖ **We prove this by induction:**

- ➢ Assume that after *n* elements, the sample contains each element seen so far with probability *s/n*
- ➢ We need to show that after seeing element *n+1* the sample maintains the property
	- ▶ Sample contains each element seen so far with probability *s/(n+1)*
- ❖ Base case:
	- ➢ After we see **n=s** elements the sample **S** has the desired property
		- Each out of **n=s** elements is in the sample with probability *s/s = 1*

Proof: By Induction

- ❖ Inductive hypothesis: After *n* elements, the sample *S* contains each element seen so far with prob. *s/n*
- ❖ **Now element** *n+1* **arrives**
- ❖ **Inductive step:** For elements already in *S*, probability that the algorithm keeps it in *S* is:

- ❖ So, at time *n,* tuples in *S* were there with prob. **s/n**
- ❖ Time *n*→*n+1,* tuple stayed in *S* with prob. **n/(n+1)**
- ❖ So prob. tuple is in *S* at time *n+1* **=** $\frac{s}{n} \cdot \frac{n}{n+1}$ $\frac{n}{n+1} = \frac{s}{n+1}$ $n+1$

takeSample Operator in Spark

- ❖ takeSample(withReplacement, num, seed=None)
	- ➢ Return a fixed-size sampled subset of this RDD.
	- ➢ withReplacement: can elements be sampled multiple times
	- ➢ num: sample size
	- \triangleright This method should only be used if the resulting array is expected to be small, as all the data is loaded into the driver's memory.

```
scale val rdd = sc.parallelice(1 to 100)rdd: org.apache.spark.rdd.RDD[Int] = ParallelCollectionRDD[95] at parallel
ize at <console>:31
\text{scale} > \text{var sample1} = \text{rdd}. takeSample(true, 20, 1)
sample1: Array[Int] = Array(67, 72, 29, 2, 37, 86, 16, 42, 68, 100, 46, 4,
 83, 67, 51, 69, 92, 24, 97, 8)
scala> sample1.size
res10: Int = 20
```
Part 2: Querying Data Streams

Sliding Windows

- ❖ A useful model of stream processing is that queries are about a *window* of length *N* – the *N* most recent elements received
- ❖ Interesting case: *N* is so large that the data cannot be stored in memory, or even on disk
	- ➢ Or, there are so many streams that windows for all cannot be stored
- ❖ Amazon example:
	- ➢ For every product **X** we keep 0/1 stream of whether that product was sold in the *n*-th transaction
	- ➢ We want answer queries, how many times have we sold **X** in the last *k* sales

Sliding Window: 1 Stream

❖ Sliding window on a single stream:

N = 7

Counting Bits (1)

❖ Problem:

- ➢ Given a stream of **0**s and **1**s
- \triangleright Be prepared to answer queries of the form: How many 1s are in the last k bits? where $k \leq N$
- ❖ Obvious solution:
	- ➢ Store the most recent *N* bits
		- When new bit comes in, discard the *N***+1st** bit

Counting Bits (2)

- ❖ You can not get an exact answer without storing the entire window
- ❖ Real Problem: **What if we cannot afford to store** *N* **bits?**
	- ➢ **E.g.**, we're processing 1 billion streams and *N* **= 1 billion**

❖ But we are happy with an approximate answer

An attempt: Simple solution

- ❖ Q: How many 1s are in the last *N* bits?
- ❖ A simple solution that does not really solve our problem: **Uniformity Assumption** *N*

0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 ← Past Future

- ❖ Maintain 2 counters:
	- ➢ *S*: number of 1s from the beginning of the stream
	- ➢ *Z*: number of 0s from the beginning of the stream
- **❖** How many 1s are in the last *N* bits? *N* · $\frac{s}{s}$ $S+Z$
- ❖ **But, what if stream is non-uniform?**
	- \triangleright What if distribution changes over time?

The Datar-Gionis-Indyk-Motwani (DGIM) Algorithm

- ❖ Maintaining Stream Statistics over Sliding Windows (SODA'02)
- ❖ DGIM solution that does not assume uniformity
- ❖ We store $O(log^2 N)$ bits per stream

 \triangleright If $N = 2$ ^16 (64KB), log (log N) = log (16) = 4

- ❖ Solution gives approximate answer, never off by more than 50%
	- \triangleright Error factor can be reduced to any fraction > 0 , with more complicated algorithm and proportionally more stored bits

Idea: Exponential Windows

- ❖ Solution that doesn't (quite) work:
	- ➢ Summarize **exponentially increasing** regions of the stream, looking backward
	- ➢ Drop small regions if they begin at the same point as a larger region

We can reconstruct the count of the last *N* bits, except we are not sure how many of the last **6 1s** are included in the *N*

What's Good?

- ❖ Stores only $O(\log^2 N)$ bits
	- \triangleright $O(\log N)$ counts of $\log_2 N$ bits each
- ❖ Easy update as more bits enter
- ❖ Error in count no greater than the number of **1s** in the "**unknown**" area

What's Not So Good?

- ❖ As long as the **1s** are fairly evenly distributed, the error due to the unknown region is small – **no more than 50%**
- ❖ But it could be that all the 1s are in the unknown area at the end
- ❖ In that case, the error is unbounded!
	- ➢ Because that the number of 1's in the known regions could be 0!

Fixup: DGIM Algorithm

- ❖ **Idea:** Instead of summarizing fixed-length blocks, summarize blocks with specific number of **1s**:
	- ➢ Let the block *sizes* (number of **1s**) increase exponentially
- ❖ When there are few 1s in the window, block sizes stay small, so errors are small

DGIM: Timestamps

- ❖ Each bit in the stream has a timestamp, starting from **1**, **2,** …
- ❖ Record timestamps modulo *N* (**the window size**), so we can represent any **relevant** timestamp in $O(log₂N)$ bits
	- ➢ E.g., given the windows size 40 (*N*), timestamp 123 will be recorded as 3, and thus the encoding is on 3 rather than 123

DGIM: Buckets

- ❖ A bucket in the DGIM method is a record consisting of:
	- \triangleright (A) The timestamp of its end $[O(\log N)$ bits]
	- \triangleright (B) The number of 1s between its beginning and end $[O(\log \log N)]$ bits]
- ❖ Constraint on buckets:
	- \triangleright Number of 1s must be a power of 2
	- That explains the $\boldsymbol{0}$ (loglog N) in (B) above

Representing a Stream by Buckets

- \cdot The right end of a bucket is always a position with a 1
- ❖ Every position with a 1 is in some bucket
- ❖ Either **one** or **two** buckets with the same **power-of-2 number** of **1s**
- ❖ Buckets do not overlap in timestamps
- ❖ **Buckets are sorted by size**
	- \triangleright Earlier buckets are not smaller than later buckets
- ❖ Buckets disappear when their end-time is **>** *N* time units in the past

Example: Bucketized Stream

- ❖ Three properties of buckets that are maintained:
	- ➢ Either **one** or **two** buckets with the same power-of-2 number of 1s
	- ➢ Buckets do not overlap in timestamps
	- ➢ Buckets are sorted by size

Updating Buckets

- ❖ When a new bit comes in, drop the last (oldest) bucket if its end-time is prior to *N* time units before the current time
- ❖ 2 cases: Current bit is **0** or **1**
- ❖ If the current bit is 0: no other changes are needed
- ❖ If the current bit is 1:
	- \geq (1) Create a new bucket of size 1, for just this bit
		- \triangleright End timestamp = current time
	- \triangleright (2) If there are now three buckets of size 1, combine the oldest two into a bucket of size 2
	- \ge (3) If there are now three buckets of size 2, combine the oldest two into a bucket of size 4
	- \triangleright (4) And so on ...

Example: Updating Buckets

Current state of the stream:

1001010110001011010101010101011010101010101110101010111010100010110010

Bit of value 1 arrives

001010110001011010101010101011010101010101110101010111010100010110010**1**

Two white buckets get merged into a yellow bucket

0010101100010110101010101010110101010101011101010101110101000101100101

Next bit 1 arrives, new orange white is created, then 0 comes, then 1:

0101100010110101010101010110101010101011101010101110101000101100101**101**

Buckets get merged…

0101100010110101010101010110101010101011101010101110101000101100101**101**

State of the buckets after merging

0101100010110101010101010110101010101011101010101110101000101100101101

How to Query?

❖ To estimate the number of 1s in the most recent N bits:

- \triangleright Sum the sizes of all buckets but the last
	- (note "size" means the number of 1s in the bucket)
- \triangleright Add half the size of the last bucket
- ❖ Remember: We do not know how many 1s of the last bucket are still within the wanted window
- ❖ Example:

Error Bound: Proof

❖ **Why is error 50%? Let's prove it!**

- ❖ Suppose the last bucket has size **2** *r*
- ❖ Then by assuming **2** *r***-1** (i.e., half) of its **1s** are still within the window, we make an error of at most **2** *r***-1**
- ❖ Since there is at least one bucket of each of the sizes less than **2** *r* , the true sum is at least $1 + 2 + 4 + ... + 2^{r-1} = 2^r - 1$
- ❖ Thus, error at most **50%**

Further Reducing the Error

- ❖ Instead of maintaining **1** or **2** of each size bucket, we allow either *r***-1** or *r* buckets (*r* **> 2**)
	- \triangleright Except for the largest size buckets; we can have any number between **1** and *r* of those
- ❖ **Error is at most** *O(***1/***r)*

➢ *WHY?*

❖ By picking *r* appropriately, we can tradeoff between number of bits we store and the error

Extensions (optional)

- ❖ Can we use the same trick to answer queries **How many 1's in the last** k **?** where $k < N$?
	- ➢ **A:** Find earliest bucket **B** that at overlaps with *k*. Number of **1s** is the **sum of sizes of more recent buckets + ½ size of B**

❖ **Can we handle the case where the stream is not bits, but integers, and we want the sum of the last** *k* **elements?**

Extensions (optional)

- ❖ **Stream of positive integers**
- ❖ **We want the sum of the last** *k* **elements**
	- ➢ **Amazon:** Avg. price of last **k** sales
- ❖ **Solution:**
	- ➢ **(1) If you know all have at most** *m* **bits**
		- ▸ Treat *m* bits of each integer as a separate stream
		- Use DGIM to count **1s** in each integer
		- **→ The sum is** = $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i 2^i$ **c**_{*i*} …estimated count for the **i-th** bit
	- ➢ **(2) Use buckets to keep partial sums**

Sum of elements in size *b* **bucket is at most** *2 b*

2 5 7 1 3 8 4 6 7 9 1 3 7 6 5 3 5 7 1 3 2 5 7 1 3 8 4 6 7 9 1 3 7 6 5 <mark>3 5 7 1</mark> 3 3 1 2 2 5 7 1 3 8 4 6 7 9 1 3 7 6 5 <mark>3 5 7 1</mark> 3 3 2 5 7 1 3 8 4 6 7 9 1 3 7 6 5 <mark>3 5 7 1</mark> 3

Idea: Sum in each bucket is at most **2 ^b** (unless bucket has only **1** integer) **Bucket sizes:**

❖ Chapter 4, Mining of Massive Datasets.

End of Chapter 6.1